

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/046,734	01/17/2002	Richard E. Lyon	FAA 00-4	2574
7590 12/17/2003			EXAMINER	
Otto M. Wilde	nsteiner		NOLAND,	THOMAS
DOT Room 5432 400 7th St. SW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20590			2856	
			DATE MAILED: 12/17/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/046,734	LYON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas P. Noland	2856				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corr spondenc address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period variety of the period	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be a within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 S	eptember 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-10 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 11-17 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12)						
Attachment(s)	-					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application Number: 10/046,734

Art Unit: 2856

Applicant's election without traverse of the invention of group 2, claims 11-17 in Paper 1.

Page 2

No. 3, filed Sept. 30, 2003 is acknowledged.

The restriction requirement is made final. 2.

3. Claims 1-10 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as

being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election

was made without traverse in Paper No. 3.

4. Applicant is requested to cancel claims 1-10 in any response hereto.

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention.

It is unclear what is meant by "a mixture of the types of plastic normally found in the

cargo compartment of an airplane".

Application Number: 10/046,734

Art Unit: 2856

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

8. Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bute US 4,271,643 in view of Spector et al US 5,610,359.

Bute in Fig. 1 and the abstract discloses a smoldering fire detector substantially as claimed but does not generate the smoke with a porous sample having an internal heating element. But such is a known configuration for a smoke generator as evident from Fig. 1 and col. 11, lines 57-col. 12, line 4 of Spector et al and would thus have been obvious to have incorporated into a testing system similar to that of Bute for greater control of the simulation. Spector et al also indicates that such systems are useful in the storage compartments of aircraft in col. 13, lines 47-52 providing some suggestion of the desirability of simulating such. In general making the material of the substance being simulated would have been an obvious expedient when simulating a test involving such material. Likewise adding a flammable liquid to simulate an actual file in addition to smouldering or to make the smouldering rate change would have been an obvious expedient to increase the accuracy of the simulation.

Art Unit: 2856

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. The cited references show smoke detector testers and/or smoke simulators.

10. Claims 14-17 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35

U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of

the base claim and any intervening claims.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tom Noland whose telephone number is (703) 305-4765. Beginning January 26, 2004 telephone number (571) 272-2202 should be used. The examiner can normally

be reached on weekdays from 9:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Hezron E. Williams, can be reached on (703) 305-4705. Beginning Jan. 26, 2004 his

telephone number will be (571) 272-2208.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

assigned is (703) 072-3300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

12/15/03Thomas P. Noland

**Primary Examiner** 

Art Unit 2856

Thom Keld

Noland/ek 12/15/03